Case 5:06-cr-00396-HRL Document 4 Filed 04/27/06 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>CR-06-70259</u> PV7
Cirinio arvizu-Rinea Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	0.0 5.2140/0
Defendant was present represented by his attack	S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 4/27,
Assistant II.S. Attorney	The United States was represented by
Assistant U.S. Attorney S. Knight PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
	• • •
convicted of a prior offense described in 18 H.S.C. and	described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been
offense and a period of not many than 5 (5)	42(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has	elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person in
imprisonment, whichever is later.	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that n	to condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
// There is probable cause based upon (the inc	dictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe the
detendant has committed an offense	ALCO CALLED STATE OF THE STATE
A for which a maximum term of important	risonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. \$301 et
seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	SAN JOOGF CALLET
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a	firearm during the commission of a felony.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no	o condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of	f the community.
✓ No presumption applies.	
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	€
/ / The defendant has not come forward with ar	ny evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefor
will be ordered detained.	, and no morek
/ / The defendant has come forward with eviden	nce to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the Unite	ed States.
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED O	OR INAPPLICABLE)
The United States has proved to a preponder	ance of the evidence that no condition or combination of condition
will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as	required. AND/OR
/ / The United States has proved by clear and co	onvincing evidence that no condition or combination of condition
will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and t	he community.
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT	OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
The Court has taken into account the factors:	set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitte
	is charged with a Vislation of 8 450 8132
his to an almost a state of	Mad lemoleties involveno
alcohol. He has a substruce	
Cornection and a Kalend to reasis	aluse problem. He has a sex offen
Jacob 10 ages	Was such as offerder!
// Defendent his attenues and the ATICAL	vaived written findings
/ / Detelloant his allotted and the Alls a borre w	aived written findings.
/ / Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have w	
ART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	orney General or his designated representative for confinement in

of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver

the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge